



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12194/2023

Sumer Singh S/o Shri Guman Singh, Aged About 75 Years, R/o  
Village Bavata, Tehsil Sayala, District Jalore (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., Through The  
Managing Director, New Power House, Jodhpur (Raj.).
2. The Executive Engineer, Jodhpur Discom, Sayla, Jalore  
(Raj.).
3. The Assistant Engineer, Jodhpur Discom, Jivana, Jalore  
(Raj.).
4. Shri Super Foods, Jalroe, Through Shri Goma Ram S/o  
Shri Taga Ji, R/o Village Bavatra, Tehsil Sayala, District  
Jalore (Raj.).
5. The Superintending Engineer (O And M), Jodhpur Discom,  
Jalore (Raj.).

-----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Jog Singh Bhati  
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Pradeep Sharma  
Mr. Moti Singh

---

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI**

**Order**

**04/09/2023**

1. The petitioner has preferred the present writ petition  
claiming the following reliefs :-

*"It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that this writ  
petition may kindly be allowed with costs and by issuance  
of an appropriate writ, order or directions, the  
respondent authorities may kindly be directed to install  
the electricity connection for the respondent no.4  
through undisputed and cheaper way assessed by the  
Junior Engineer, GSS, Bavatra, Vide (Annex.8)."*



2. Mr. Pradeep Sharma, learned counsel for the respondent has shown a letter issued by the PWD Department dated 12.08.2022, which indicates that the installation of electricity pole at village Bavatra is acceptable to them. He has also shown Annex.R/1, which is a technical & administrative sanction of the electricity route.

2.1. Learned counsel further submits that the said route is on the highway and the design drawn by the Department is safe for the villagers.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently opposed the submission made by learned counsel for the respondent on the ground that the alternate route was cheaper by about Rs.3 lacs and also on the ground that the reports, which are Annex.6 and Annex.7 by the respective authorities, do not concur with the design and direction of the line at present.

4. This Court after hearing the learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record of the case, particularly the record submitted by learned counsel for the respondent, finds that the respondents have completed 60% pole installation process with the technical and administrative sanction dated 30.06.2023, which is taking the line through the highway on the Government land alone.

5. This Court also finds that there is a NOC issued by the PWD for the highway. Any further indulgence in the route of the line, in absence of any reason, which could be so glaring that the Court had to step in, is not available on record. The reasons given on behalf of the petitioner are covered in the record submitted by the learned counsel for the respondent. This Court has also kept into



consideration the Annex.R/2, produced by learned counsel for the respondent.

6. In view of the above, the present writ petition is dismissed.

All pending applications stand disposed of.

**(DR.PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI),J**

43-Sudheer/-

