

Gujarat High Court

Rahulbhai Natubhai Vaghela vs State Of Gujarat on 12 June, 2023

Bench: Nirzar S. Desai

R/CR.MA/8731/2023

ORDER DATED: 12/06/2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 8731 of 2023

RAHULBHAI NATUBHAI VAGHELA

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR YASH K DAVE(10269) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

VISHAL K ANANDJIWALA(7798) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR RONAK RAVAL APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIRZAR S. DESAI

Date : 12/06/2023

ORAL ORDER

1. By way of the present application under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the applicant - accused has prayed for anticipatory bail in connection with the FIR bearing C.R.No.11188011230069 of 2023 registered with Sathamba Police Station, Dist.Arvali for the offences punishable under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the nature of allegations are such for which custodial interrogation at this stage is not necessary. He further submits that the applicant will keep himself available during the course of investigation, trial also and will R/CR.MA/8731/2023 ORDER DATED: 12/06/2023 not flee from justice.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant on instructions states that the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the conditions including imposition of conditions with regard to powers of Investigating Agency to file an application before the competent Court for his remand. He further submit that upon filing of such application by the Investigating Agency, the right of applicant accused to oppose such application on merits may be kept open. Learned advocate, therefore, submits that considering the above facts, the applicant may be granted anticipatory bail.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State has opposed grant of anticipatory bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

5. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and perusing the material placed on record and taking into consideration the facts of the case, nature of allegations, gravity of offences, role attributed to the R/CR.MA/8731/2023 ORDER DATED: 12/06/2023 accused, without discussing the evidence in detail, at this stage, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant.

6. This Court has considered following aspects,

(i) FIR is registered almost two months after the alleged incident took place as the FIR is registered on 19.04.2023 alleging that the incident had taken place on 25.02.2023;

(ii) learned advocate Mr.Anandjiwala draws attention of this Court to one complaint given by same complainant against the present applicant on 17.03.2023 alleging that both persons are carrying on business at the same place and the present applicant is head strong person. Though she alleged that offence had taken place on 25.02.2023 even on 17.03.2023 at the time of filing the complaint against the present applicant she had not stated anything about alleged incident of rape committed by the present applicant;

R/CR.MA/8731/2023 ORDER DATED: 12/06/2023

(iii) prima facie this seems to be offence just on account of business rivalry between the parties;

(iv) there are no antecedents attributed against the present applicant.

7. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, since the custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required, I am inclined to consider the case of the applicant.

8. This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors., reported at [2011] 1 SCC 694, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court reiterated the law laid down by the Constitution Bench in the case of Shri Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab, reported at (1980) 2 SCC 565.

9. In the result, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest in connection with FIR bearing R/CR.MA/8731/2023 ORDER DATED: 12/06/2023 C.R.No.11188011230069 of 2023 registered with Sathamba Police Station, Dist.Arvalli on executing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with one surety of like amount on the following conditions that the applicant:

(a) shall cooperate with the investigation and make themselves available for interrogation whenever required;

(b) shall remain present at concerned Police Station on 19.06.2023 between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m.;

(c) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer;

(d) shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the R/CR.MA/8731/2023 ORDER DATED: 12/06/2023 police;

(e) shall at the time of execution of bond, furnish the address to the investigating officer and the court concerned and shall not change residence till the final disposal of the case till further orders;

(f) shall not leave India without the permission of the concerned trial court and if having passport shall deposit the same before the concerned trial court within a week; and

(g) it would be open to the Investigating Officer to file an application for remand if he considers it proper and just and the learned Magistrate would decide it on merits;

10. Despite this order, it would be open for the Investigating Agency to apply to the competent Magistrate, for police remand of the applicant. The applicant shall remain present before the learned Magistrate on the first date of hearing of such R/CR.MA/8731/2023 ORDER DATED: 12/06/2023 application and on all subsequent occasions, as may be directed by the learned Magistrate. This would be sufficient to treat the accused in the judicial custody for the purpose of entertaining application of the prosecution for police remand. This is, however, without prejudice to the right of the accused to seek stay against an order of remand, if, ultimately, granted, and the power of the learned Magistrate to consider such a request in accordance with law. It is clarified that the applicant, even if, remanded to the police custody, upon completion of such period of police remand, shall be set free immediately, subject to other conditions of this anticipatory bail order.

11. At the trial, the concerned trial court shall not be influenced by the prima facie observations made by this Court in the present order.

12. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

(NIRZAR S. DESAI,J) MISHRA AMIT V.